

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/544,314	04/06/2000		Claude Basso	RAL9-00-0049	8223	
25299	7590	12/17/2003		EXAMINER		
IBM CORP	ORATION		CAO, DIEM K			
PO BOX 121 DEPT 9CCA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709				2126		
				DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. <i>s</i>			PPG
	Application N	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/544,314	BASSO ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Diem K Cao	2126	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet	t with the correspondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2003 FAILS TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is requir final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be e condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	ed to avoid abandonment of ither: (1) a timely filed amenon f Appeal (with appeal fee); o	this application. A proper reput this application. A proper reput this application is applicated to the application.	oly to a cation in
PERIOD F	OR REPLY [check either a)	or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailir	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state of	re later than SIX MONTHS from the n LY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MON The date on which the petition under of extension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for reply or	nailing date of the final rejection. ITHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate amount of the fee. The appropriate ext iginally set in the final Office action; or	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Apparent Appears Appeared as a notice of Appeal was filed on			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be en	tered because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would requi	re further consideration and/	or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see	e Note below);	•	
(c) \(\sum \) they are not deemed to place the appli issues for appeal; and/or	ication in better form for app	eal by materially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without	canceling a corresponding r	number of finally rejected clair	ns.

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: _____.
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u>.

application in condition for allowance because: _

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

10. Other: ____







Continuation of 2. NOTE: As to claims 1 and 5, the limitation "the inter process communication frames include guided frames" were not claimed before..